

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/603,037	PETCULESCU ET AL.	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph F. Oriti, Reg.No. 47,835.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2009

**Time:** 8.00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3-6,11,13-16,20,21-24,27,33-36,42-45

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Srirama Channavajjala/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone call was made on 08 May 2009 to applicant's representative Attorney, Joseph F. Oriti, Reg. No. 47,835, about the amendment to the claims 4,6,14,16,22,24,34,36,43,45 and cancelling claims:3,5,13,15,21,23,33,35,42,44 , in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment. .